Deborah.Easterling

From:

Jocelyn.Boyd

Sent:

Friday, September 27, 2013 7:38 AM

To:

Deborah. Easterling

Cc:

davido@sunstoresolar.com; pmlgrnlw@yahoo.com; brian.franklin@duke-energy.com; timika.shafeek-horton@duke-energy.com; libbysmith@comcast.net; Edwards, Nanette;

Hudson, Shannon; chad.burgess@scana.com; Bholman@selcsc.org;

davido@sunstoresolar.com

Subject:

FW: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

----Original Message----

From: Sierra Club [mailto:information@sierraclub.org] On Behalf Of Patricia Schwietert

Sent: Friday, September 27, 2013 7:05 AM

To: Jocelyn.Boyd

Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 27, 2013

Joceyln Boyd 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Boyd,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Duke just raised rates and you're delaying this? What's the problem? Too much competition for Duke?

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own

motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Melissa.Purvis

From:

Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Schwietert

<rose.schwietert@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, September 27, 2013 7:05 AM

To:

PSC_Commissioner.Howard

Subject:

Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 27, 2013

John Howard 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Howard,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Duke just raised rates and you're delaying this? What's the problem? Too much competition for Duke?

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own

motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Melissa.Purvis

From:

Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Schwietert

<rose.schwietert@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, September 27, 2013 7:05 AM

To:

PSC Commissioner.Hall

Subject:

Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 27, 2013

Nikiya Hall 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Duke just raised rates and you're delaying this? What's the problem? Too much competition for Duke?

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own

motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Schwietert

<rose.schwietert@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, September 27, 2013 7:05 AM

To: PSC_Commissioner.Randall

Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 27, 2013

Comer Randall 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Randall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Duke just raised rates and you're delaying this? What's the problem? Too much competition for Duke?

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own

motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Nina.Gates

From:

Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Schwietert

<rose.schwietert@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, September 27, 2013 7:05 AM

To:

PSC_Commissioner.Fleming

Subject:

Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 27, 2013

Elizabeth Fleming 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Fleming,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Duke just raised rates and you're delaying this? What's the problem? Too much competition for Duke?

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Nina.Gates

From:

Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Schwietert

<rose.schwietert@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, September 27, 2013 7:05 AM

To:

PSC_Commissioner.Hamilton

Subject:

Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 27, 2013

G. O'Neal Hamilton 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hamilton,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Duke just raised rates and you're delaying this? What's the problem? Too much competition for Duke?

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Nina.Gates

From:

Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Schwietert

<rose.schwietert@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, September 27, 2013 7:05 AM

To:

PSC_Commissioner.Whitfield

Subject:

Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 27, 2013

Swain Whitfield 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Whitfield,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Duke just raised rates and you're delaying this? What's the problem? Too much competition for Duke?

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,